

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,090 03/30/2004		Toshikazu Hattori	2004-0457A 3100	
513	7590 09/07/2005		EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			DOWLING, WILLIAM C	
2033 K STRE	ET N. W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 800		•	ARTOINT	110 200 100 100 100
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1021		2851	

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A	K
. 4	_

	A 11 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer:	10/812,090	HATTORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William C. Dowling	2851				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	ne 2005					
_ · · _ · · · _ · · ·	action is non-final.					
· <u>·</u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
4) Claim(s) 1-6,9 and 13-21 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) _ is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 16 is/are rejected.	4-					
7) Claim(s) <u>1-6,9,13-15 and 18-21</u> is/are objected						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	priority under 35 H S C & 110(a)	ar(d) or (f)				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-6, 9, 13-15, 18-21 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1 should be further amended to set forth the selective movement of the projector to project upon either the interior or exterior screen because the claim language as is has the projector "emitting a light beam... toward an opening formed at a portion near a rear end of the vehicle". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE19938330A1.

DE 19938330A1 discloses a display system comprising:

A projector (1) mounted inside a vehicle for projecting an image through an open hatch of the vehicle;

An exterior screen (15) for receiving the image;

A housing frame unit (8, 12, 14) mounted near the hatch for accommodating the screen.

Art Unit: 2851

The screen may be stretched roller material (Page 8 Lines 6-7). Such materials, as used in roller blinds exhibit elastic properties and thus

Mirrors may be provided in the projection path (Page 7 Lines 20-30)

The projection device may be encompassed with television or computer systems.

As to claim 16 "light shield" is a very broad term and is met by the structure frame system (8) attached to a side of the screen (15) See figure 5. Page 8 Lines 7-11 set forth the use of covering material for shielding the screen from stray light effects.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claim 17 is allowed.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 6/20/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Arguments have been addressed in the body of the rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2851

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Dowling whose telephone number is 571-272-2116. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-1750. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

wcd

- William Dowling Primary Examiner